#### Towards Analysis-Driven Multiphysics Software Architecture

Damian Rouson Reacting Flows Dept., Combustion Research Facility Sandia National Laboratories

Project: Morfeus

Sponsor: Office of Naval Research



#### Motivation







- 1. To analyze how development time scales with program size & how this depends on the choice of abstractions.
- 2. To develop strategies for reducing development time.
- 3. To demonstrate *scalable development* of multiphysics models.



## Outline

- 1. Analyses of the impact of
  - a. Coding efficiency on total solution time.
  - b. Programming paradigm on debugging.
  - c. Abstraction choice on interface content.
- 2. Multiphysics model demonstrations
- 3. Conclusions & Future Work



### Outline

#### 1. Analyses of the impact of

- a. Coding efficiency on total solution time.
- b. Programming paradigm on debugging.
- c. Abstraction choice on interface content.
- 2. Multiphysics model demonstrations
- 3. Conclusions & Future Work



## **Conventional Development**









#### Amdahl's Law

Representative case study for a published run<sup>1,2</sup>:



The speedup achievable by focusing solely on decreasing run time is very limited.

<sup>1</sup>Rouson et al. (2008) *Physics of Fluids*. <sup>2</sup>Rouson et al. (2008) *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software*.



## Case Study: Isotropic Turbulence

	Procedure	Inclusive Run-Time Share
Calls		(%)
	main	100.0
	operator(.x.)	79.5
	RK3_Integrate()	47.8
	Nonlinear_Fluid()	44.0
	Statistics_	43.8
	transform_to_fourier	38.7
	transform_to_physical	23.6

- 5% procedures occupy nearly 80% of run time.
- Structure 95% of procedures to reduce <u>development time</u>.

## **Total Solution Time Speedup**





## **Pareto Principle**

When participants (lines) share resources (run time), there always exists a number  $k \in [50,100)$  such that (1-k)% of the participants occupy k% of the resources:

Limiting cases:

- k=50%, equal distribution
- $k \rightarrow 100\%$ , monopoly

Rule of thumb: 20% of the lines occupy 80% of the run time

Scalability requirements determine the percentage of the code that can be focused strictly on programmability:

$$S_{\max} = \lim_{S_{k\%} \to \infty} \frac{1}{0.2 + 0.8 / S_{k\%}} = 5$$





# "Separate the physics from the data."

#### Jaideep Ray

#### Sandia National Laboratories, ca. 2005





# "Software abstractions should resemble blackboard abstractions."

#### Kevin Long Texas Tech. Univ., ca. 2007



## Abstract Data Type Calculus

**Blackboard abstraction** 

- $T = T(\mathbf{x}, y, z, t]$
- $T (= 0, y, z, t) T_0$
- $T_t = \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}$

T%t()

 $T^{n+1} = T^n + \measuredangle n$ 

 $\frac{\partial T}{\partial} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \nabla T$ 

 $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}_{xx} + T_{yy} + T_{zz}$ 

Software abstraction (Fortran 2003):
type(field) :: T,dT\_dt,laplacian\_T
call T%boundary(x,0,T0)

T = T + dt \* T%t()

dT\_dt = (1./alpha)\*laplacian(T)

 $laplacian_T = T%xx()+T%yy()+T%zz()$ 





#### Morfeus



#### Lattice Boltzmann bio-fluid dynamics:

Xu & Lee (2008) "Application of the lattice Boltzmann method to flow in aneurysm with ring-shaped stent obstacles," *Int. J. Numerical Methods in Fluids.* 



#### Particle-Laden MHD

Strong magnetic fields damp velocity variations in the field direction, leading to 2D/3C state:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}}{\partial u(x,t)} = \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbf{\nabla} \mathbf{e}_{A}^{\mathsf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{\nabla} \mathbf{u}(x,t) + \dots$$

Cross-stream dispersion segregates inertial particles:

$$dr_{i} / dt = v_{i}$$

$$dv_{i} / dt = [u_{i}(r,t) - v_{i}] / St$$

$$St \equiv \tau_{p} / \tau_{f}$$





#### Outline

#### 1. Analyses

#### 2. Multiphysics model demonstrations

- a. Particle transport in magnetohydrodynamics.
- b. Quantum turbulence in superfluid <sup>4</sup>He.
- c. Atmospheric boundary layer.
- d. Lattice-Boltzmann bio-fluid dynamics.
- 3. Conclusions & Future Work



#### Particle-Laden MHD



Rouson et al. (2008), "Dispersed-phase structural anisotropy in magnetohydrodynamic turbulence at low magnetic Reynolds numbers," *Physics of Fluids*.

aboratories

#### Particle-Laden MHD

Particle positions colored by speed (red=fastest, blue=slowest).



Physics of Fluids Feb. 2008 cover image.



#### **Quantum Turbulence**

Below 2.17 K, liquid <sup>4</sup>He flows as a two-fluid mixture with mutual friction between the two components:

1. Normal viscous fluid

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\vec{u} + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla \vec{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 \vec{u} + f$$
$$\nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

2. Inviscid superfluid with quantized vortex circulation

$$\mathbf{K} = \frac{\mathbf{K}}{4\pi} \int (\mathbf{S}_0 - \mathbf{r}) \otimes d\mathbf{S}_0 / \|\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{r}\|^3$$

$$\mathbf{S}'(\xi t) = \frac{\mathbf{S}(\xi t)}{\partial \xi}$$

$$\mathbf{S}(\xi t) = \frac{\mathbf{S}(\xi t)}{\delta \xi}$$

$$\mathbf{S}(\xi t) = \frac{\mathbf{S}(\xi t)}{\delta \xi}$$

$$\mathbf{S}(\xi t) = \frac{\mathbf{S}(\xi t)}{\delta \xi}$$



## **Quantum Turbulence**

Quantum vortices driven by forced, isotropic normal-fluid turbulence at 2.1 K:





## **Vortex Locking**

Quantum vortex alignment with classical vortices in frozen normal-fluid turbulence:



Morris, Koplik & Rouson (2008) "Vortex locking in direct numerical simulations of quantum turbulence," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 



## Outline

#### 1. Analyses of the impact of

- a. Coding efficiency on total solution time.
- b. Programming paradigm on debugging.
- c. Abstraction choice on interface content.
- 2. Multiphysics model demonstrations
- 3. Conclusions & Future Work





## "Procedural programming is like an N-body problem."

Lester Dye, Stanford University, ca. 1994





### "What are the metrics?"

#### Oyekunle Olukotun, ca. 1996 Stanford University



"The overwhelming amount of time spent in maintenance and debugging is on finding bugs... The actual fix is relatively short!" Shalloway & Trott, *Design Patterns Explained*, 2002.

"The hardest part of debugging is finding where the bug is." Oliveira & Stewart, *Writing Scientific Software: A Guide to Style*, 2006.



## **Debugging Structured Programs**





## **Bisection Search Time**



Localization error:



Convergence criterion:

$$\frac{\lambda}{2^q} = 1$$

$$q = \log_2 \lambda$$

Search time metric:

$$\lambda_{\text{searched}} = \log_2 \lambda$$





Source: Fenton & Ohlssen (2000) "Quantitative analysis of faults and failures in a complex software system," *IEEE Trans. Soft. Eng.* 



#### Scientific Code Faults

Observed faults in *commercially released* code\*:

- 8 statically detectable faults/1000 lines of C code
- •12 statically detectable faults/1000 lines of Fortran 77 code
- more recent data finds 2-3 times as many faults in C++

 $\Rightarrow r \approx 0.006 - 0.036$   $t_{search} = \# bugs \Rightarrow \#_{ines \ searched \ per \ bug} (\bar{t}_{line \ review})$   $= (r \mathcal{A} [\mathcal{A} 2 - 1)/2] \bar{t}_{line \ review}$ 

\*Source: Hatton, L. (1997) "The `T' Experiments – Errors in Scientific Software," *Comp. Sci. Eng.* 



#### **Object-Oriented Program**



$$\lambda_{searched} = rc \log_2 c$$

 $c << \lambda$ 



#### **ADT Calculus**



#### Procedural line density:



## Outline

#### 1. Analyses of the impact of

- a. Coding efficiency on total solution time.
- b. Programming paradigm on debugging.
- c. Abstraction choice on interface content.
- 2. Multiphysics model demonstrations
- 3. Conclusions & Future Work



#### Interface Content

Abstract class interface (Unified Modeling Language):

#### integrable\_model

+ operator(+)(integrable\_model,integrable\_model) :
integrable\_model

- + operator(\*)(real,integrable\_model) : integrable\_model
- + t(integrable\_model) : integrable\_model

A single interface describes all of the public information for all classes that extend this class.



## **Information Entropy**

Shannon (1948) "A mathematical theory of communication," *Bell System Tech. J.* 

The class interfaces embody inter-developer communications. Consider the set of all (N) possible messages that can be transmitted between two developers:

"If the number of messages in the set is finite, then this number or any monotonic function of this number can be regarded as a measure of the information produced when one message is chosen from the set, all choices being equally likely."

Shannon chose the logarithm because it satisfies several constraints that match our intuitive understanding of information:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log_2 p_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log_2 \frac{1}{N} = \log_2 N$$



## Minimum Information Growth

subroutine integrate(integrand)
 class(integrable\_model) :: integrand
 integrand = integrand + dt\*integrand%t()
end subroutine

If only one class extends integrable\_model, the executable line only has one possible interpretation, so H=0. Each subsquent subclass increases the information content by

$$\frac{1}{\log_2(N-1)-\log_2 N}$$

which is the minimum information growth.





- Applying Amdahl's law to the *total* solution time suggests that optimizing runtime only severely limits speedup.
- The Pareto Principle determines the percentage of the code that can be focused strictly on programmability rather than runtime efficiency.
- ADT calculus renders bug search times very nearly scale-invariant and reduces interface information content.





#### "More computing sins are committed in the name of efficiency (without necessarily achieving it) than for any other single reason - including blind stupidity." W. A. Wulf

Source: S. Kargl, comp.lang.fortran, 11/6/08.



## Abstract Data Type (ADT)

```
module field_class
                              !C++ namespace
 implicit none
 private
 type, public :: field
                        !C++ class
   private
                              !C++ data members
   real, dimension(:,:,:), allocatable :: nodalValues
 contains
   procedure :: boundary !C++ member functions
   procedure :: plus !C++ overloaded operator
   generic, public :: operator(+)=>plus
 end type
contains
 subroutine boundary(this, direction, location, value)
   class(field) :: this !C++ dynamic dispatching
 end subroutine
 function plus(lhs,rhs) result(total)
   class(field), intent(in) :: lhs,rhs
   class(field), allocatable :: total
    . . .
```

## **Future Directions**

- Demonstrate runtime scalability.
- Add empirical support for reductions in
  - Fault localization time.
  - Information entropy\*.

\*Kirk & Jenkins (2004) "Information theory-based software metrics and obfuscation." *J. Systems & Software.* 



#### **Fault Localization**

"Computational" complexity theory: Derive a polynomial time estimate for fault localization in a chronological list of the <u>unique</u> program lines executed:



#### **Structure Tensors**

One-point turbulence structure tensor statistics:

$$\langle u^2 \rangle \delta_{ij} \equiv R_{ij} + D_{ij} + F_{ij}$$
  
 $\mathbf{u} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$ 

$$R_{ij} \equiv \left\langle u_{i}u_{j}\right\rangle, \quad D_{ij} \equiv \int \frac{k_{i}k_{j}}{\bigwedge^{k^{2}}} E_{nn}d^{3}\mathbf{k}, \quad F_{ij} \sim \int \frac{\hat{\omega}_{i}\hat{\omega}_{j}^{*}}{\bigwedge^{k^{2}}}d^{3}\mathbf{k},$$
  
"Componentality" "Dimensionality" "Circulicity"  
(Reynolds stress)



#### **Dispersed Phase**

